



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,434	07/13/2001	Timothy B. Main	59159-9	3429
22504	7590 11/19/2003		EXAMINER	
DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP 2600 CENTURY SQUARE			HYLTON, ROBIN A.	
1501 FOURTH AVENUE SEATTLE, WA 98101-1688			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3727	

DATE MAILED: 11/19/2003

20

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		MAIN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	09/905,434						
Omoc Addon Gammary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Robin A. Hylton	are spondence address					
Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 Se	eptember 2003.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,7-12,14-16,18 and 20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12,14-16 and 18 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-5,7-11 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Application Papers	•						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r	•					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 July 2001</u> is/are: a)[by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
12)							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 09/905,434

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 12, 14-16, and 18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.
 Election was made without traverse in Paper No. 19.

Drawings

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the multi-wall structure of the bag must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: "multi-wall" paper bale. See page 4, line 7 which uses the phrase "one or more layers of brown paper".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 5. Claims 1,3,4,7-11, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Coad et al. (US 4,541,227) in view of Kroemer (US 1,713-341) and Lissner (DE 3,416,710).

Coad teaches it is known to use a 30 inch by 16 inch by 10 inch kraft bale bag to pack multiple ten pound bags therein for shipping and storage, the paper bale having a front panel, a back panel, two side panels, and a closed bottom panel, wherein the front wall has a crease proximate the bottom panel and the side panels each has an elongate crease therein. Coad is silent regarding the panels being multi-layered and does not teach an aperture in the back panel and a cut-away portion in the front panel exposing the aperture.

Art Unit: 3727

Kroemer teaches it is known to provide a paper bag with an aperture in the back panel and a cut-away portion in the front panel exposing the aperture.

Lissner teaches it is known to use multiple paper layers to construct a bale bag.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of an aperture in the back wall and a cut-away portion in the front wall exposing the aperture to the bag of Kroemer. Doing so would negate the need for clamps and compressors to hold the bale bag open during filling with filled bags.

Additionally, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of a multi-wall structure to the bag of Kroemer. Doing so adds strength to the bag for supporting filled multiple bags therein.

Regarding the dimensions of claims 8-11, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to select any specific dimension, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the prior art as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Lawford (AU 113,542).

Coad as modified teaches the claimed bale bag except for ventilation holes in the front and back panels.

Lawford teaches it is known to provide ventilation holes in the front and back panels of the bale bag.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of ventilation holes in the front and back panels of the modified bale bag of Coad. Doing so allows for air-flow through the bale bag to allow the inner bags contents to "breath" thereby extending the contents' shelf-life.

Response to Amendment

7. The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed May 1, 2003 has been considered and is moot view of the new ground of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/905,434

Art Unit: 3727

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1,3-5,7, and 20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

- 9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Bags having structural features similar to that disclosed and/or claimed are cited for their disclosures.
- 10. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

- 11. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703) 872-9306. This practice may be used for filling papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filling papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 12. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

Application/Control Number: 09/905,434

Art Unit: 3727

U.S. F	I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No is being facsimiled to Ti Patent and Trademark Office via fax number (703) 872-7306 on the date shown below:	he
	Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate	
	Signature	
	Date	

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (703) 308-1208. The examiner works a flexible schedule, but can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lee Young, can be reached on (703) 308-2572.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies of such papers should be directed to Errica Bembry at (703) 306-4005.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

RAH November 16, 2003

> Robin A. Hylton Primary Examiner GAU 3727